



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,540	03/26/2001	Yoshiyasu Nakashima	1466.1035	9495
21171	7590 03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & H	ALSEY LLP		POPHAM, JEFFREY D	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2137	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/816,540	NAKASHIMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey D. Popham	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	nuarv 2006.				
,	action is non-final.				
,	, <del>_</del>				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 16-24 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 16-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	olosion roquii omeni.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2001</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	· ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

#### Remarks

Claims 1-6 and 16-24 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/5/2006 have been fully considered but they are not 1. persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's argument that there is no portable device in Treyz that communicates with the automobile personal computer, this portable device can be found in at least two situations. This portable device is the handheld or portable device/computer that the user uses as an interface through which to control the automobile personal computer. This can be seen at Column 20, line 62 to Column 21, line 6, and Column 22, lines 10-45. Column 45, lines 5-8 show that this portable device is in use during these transactions (wireless device operated on the interior of the automobile). The second situation is wherein the user uses a wireless telephone that is associated with the automobile personal computer as his/her interface. This can be seen at Column 45, line 46 to Column 46, line 29.

Applicant also argues that there is no determination, at this portable device, as to whether or not to store the data. This determination is found in the "complete transaction" step (670) of Figure 50, wherein the user will complete the transaction, and the transaction will then be stored in a report. One example of a POS terminal sale having this storage confirmation is found in Figure 78, wherein the user will prepare an order for a restaurant, view information such as the complete order and the restaurant

Application/Control Number: 09/816,540

Art Unit: 2137

location, then confirm the order, at which time it will be stored in the expense report within the automobile personal computer (Column 53, line 60 to Column 54, line 9; and Figure 63). Most point of sale and all ATM services will involve a confirmation such as this, whether it's confirming a restaurant order, confirming a gasoline purchase (and thus allowing payment), or requesting an ATM transfer onto/from a debit card.

Outside the financial domain are other embodiments that perform this functionality. One such example would be when a camera takes a picture and sends this to the automobile personal computer, which in turn sends it to the user's device, then the user will edit the picture as needed, store the picture (in an album if so desired), and perhaps transmit the picture elsewhere (Column 70, lines 30-50).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 16, and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Treyz (U.S. Patent 6,526,335).

Regarding Claim 1,

Treyz discloses a data accumulation system comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/816,540

Art Unit: 2137

A data output device for outputting data required by a user (Column 44, line 57 to Column 45, line 8);

A data storage device including a storing portion for storing a part of data imparted from the data output device (Column 44, line 57 to Column 45, line 8); and

A data checking terminal that is a portable terminal capable of wireless communication with the data storage device (Column 45, line 5 to Column 46, line 29), the data checking terminal

Having a display for displaying data imparted form the data output device to the data storage device (Column 20, line 62 to Column 21, line 6; Column 22, lines 10-45) so that a user can check whether or not the data are worth storing by viewing the displayed data on the display (Column 45, line 5 to Column 46, line 29; Column 53, line 60 to Column 54, line 9; Figures 50, 63, and 78), and

Allowing the user to input a command to the data checking terminal after viewing the displayed data on the display, the command indicating whether the data output by the data output device is to be stored by the data storage device (Column 45, line 5 to Column 46, line 29; Column 53, line 60 to Column 54, line 9; Column 70, lines 30-50; Figures 50, 63, and 78),

Wherein the data storage device stores or does not store the data output by the data output device in accordance with the command input by

Application/Control Number: 09/816,540 Page 5

Art Unit: 2137

the user to the data checking terminal (Column 45, line 5 to Column 46, line 29; Column 53, line 60 to Column 54, line 9; Column 70, lines 30-50; Figures 50, 63, and 78).

Regarding Claim 2,

Treyz discloses that the data checking terminal automatically performs filtering of data to be stored (Column 59, lines 3-19).

Regarding Claim 3,

Treyz discloses that the data checking terminal processes data to be stored out of the imparted data and transfers the processed data back to the data storage device (Column 45, line 5 to Column 46, line 29; Column 53, line 60 to Column 54, line 9; Figures 50, 63, and 78).

Regarding Claim 4,

Treyz discloses that the data imparted from the data output device to the data storage device is an image data and the data checking terminal generates a thumbnail image of the image data to display the thumbnail image on the display (Column 10, lines 23-34; and Column 36, lines 35-59; and Column 70, lines 30-50).

Regarding Claim 5,

Treyz discloses that the data output device is a POS terminal (Column 44, line 57 to Column 45, line 8).

Regarding Claim 6,

Application/Control Number: 09/816,540

Art Unit: 2137

Treyz discloses that the data output device is an ATM terminal (Column 46, line 50 to Column 47, line 9).

Regarding Claim 16,

Treyz discloses a data accumulation system comprising:

A data output deice outputting data (Column 44, line 57 to Column 45, line 8);

A data storage device receiving the data output from the data output device (Column 44, line 57 to Column 45, line 8); and

A portable terminal (Column 45, line 5 to Column 46, line 29)

Wirelessly communicating with the data storage device to cause the portable terminal to display, to a user of the portable terminal, the data output from the data output device and received by the data storage device (Column 45, line 5 to Column 46, line 29);

Wirelessly communicating with the data storage device to allow the user to input a command to the portable terminal indicating whether the data output by the data output device and received by the data storage device should be stored in the data storage device, in accordance with a decision by the user after viewing the data displayed by the portable terminal (Column 45, line 5 to Column 46, line 29; Column 53, line 60 to Column 54, line 9; Column 70, lines 30-50; Figures 50, 63, and 78); and

Wirelessly communicating with the data storage device to transmit the inputted command from the portable terminal to the data storage device to cause the data storage device to store the data output by the data output device and received by the data storage device in accordance with the transmitted command (Column 45, line 5 to Column 46, line 29; Column 53, line 60 to Column 54, line 9; Column 70, lines 30-50; Figures 50, 63, and 78).

Regarding Claim 23,

Claim 23 is a system claim that corresponds to system claim 16 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding Claim 24,

Claim 24 is a method claim that corresponds to system claim 16 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding Claim 20,

Treyz discloses that the data output from the data output device is image data, and the portable terminal generates and displays to the user a thumbnail of the image (Column 10, lines 23-34; and Column 36, lines 35-59; and Column 70, lines 30-50).

Regarding Claim 21,

Treyz discloses that the data output device is a POS terminal (Column 44, line 57 to Column 45, line 8).

Regarding Claim 22,

Treyz discloses that the data output device is an ATM terminal (Column 46, line 50 to Column 47, line 9).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Treyz in view of Menezes (Menezes, "Portable pen scans and stores text without a PC", Computing Canada, 6/25/1999, pp. 16, obtained from http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb?did=42964261&sid=2&Fmt=4&clientId=19649&RQT=3 09&Vname=PQD).

Regarding Claim 17,

Treyz discloses that the data output device is a scanner (Column 13, line 58 to Column 14, line 2), but does not disclose that the scanner is a pen scanner.

Menezes, however, discloses that the scanner is a pen scanner (Page 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the pen scanner of Menezes into the data accumulation system of Treyz in order to allow the system to scan documents line by line, incorporating abilities of OCR (optical character recognition), and translation capabilities, so that a user can understand a document even if they do not speak that language.

Regarding Claim 18,

Treyz discloses that the data output device is a scanner (Column 13, line 58 to Column 14, line 2) and that the portable terminal is a PDA (Column 10, lines 22-34), but does not disclose that the scanner is a pen scanner.

Menezes, however, discloses that the scanner is a pen scanner (Page 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the pen scanner of Menezes into the data accumulation system of Treyz in order to allow the system to scan documents line by line, incorporating abilities of OCR (optical character recognition), and translation capabilities, so that a user can understand a document even if they do not speak that language.

Regarding Claim 19,

Treyz discloses that the output device is a scanner (Column 13, line 58 to Column 14, line 2), the portable terminal is a PDA (Column 10, lines 23-34), and the data storage device is inside a notebook computer (Column 10, lines 35-38), but does not disclose that the scanner is a pen scanner.

Menezes, however, discloses that the scanner is a pen scanner (Page 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the pen scanner of Menezes into the data accumulation system of Treyz in order to allow the

system to scan documents line by line, incorporating abilities of OCR (optical character recognition), and translation capabilities, so that a user can understand a document even if they do not speak that language.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey D. Popham whose telephone number is (571)-272-7215. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571)272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,540 Page 11

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey D Popham Examiner Art Unit 2137

EMMANUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER